## Frequency Analysis

A summary of all responses for the unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The subject matter of this course was well organized</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>4 %</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>30 %</td>
<td>56 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. This course was intellectually stimulating</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>31 %</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>24 %</td>
<td>66 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>29 %</td>
<td>59 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>63 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I learned a great deal from this instructor</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>51 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>11 %</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>56 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>4 %</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>28 %</td>
<td>55 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The instructor was well prepared</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>7 %</td>
<td>26 %</td>
<td>63 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall I would rate this instructor as...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>27 %</td>
<td>62 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Comparison Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Department (Sample)</th>
<th>College (Example)</th>
<th>University (1188)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The subject matter of this course was well organized</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. This course was intellectually stimulating</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. This instructor was genuinely interested in teaching</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The instructor encouraged students to think for themselves</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructor was well prepared</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The instructor was genuinely interested in helping students</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I learned a great deal from this instructor</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The instructor communicated the subject matter clearly</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Overall, I would rate this instructor as</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Text Analytics

The following items use Explorance Text Analytics, a theme-based method of analyzing qualitative feedback. Utilizing millions of student comments, a validated teaching and learning dictionary was developed to capture the most common themes in student feedback. This dictionary recognizes the context and phrasing of statements and was created specifically for the improvement of teaching in a higher education setting.

Theme Cloud

Rather than a simple count of keywords, text analytics uses the meaning of statements to group comments into specific themes. The Theme Cloud lets you see at a glance which themes were prevalent in student feedback for your unit.

Comment Frequency

This table shows how often an attribute was mentioned in student comments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Overall [1350]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POSITIVE - GENERAL</td>
<td>59 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELPFUL / SUPPORTIVE</td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERESTING</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENJOYABLE</td>
<td>17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFFICULT</td>
<td>13 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGANIZED</td>
<td>11 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPORTANT / RELEVANT</td>
<td>9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTHUSIASTIC / DEDICATED</td>
<td>9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGAGING</td>
<td>8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIND / PERSONABLE</td>
<td>8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Break down by Q10 (Overall I would rate this instructor as:)
This break down shows what attributes were mentioned by students according to their response to Question 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POSITIVE - GENERAL</td>
<td>59 %</td>
<td>66 %</td>
<td>47 %</td>
<td>32 %</td>
<td>30 %</td>
<td>48 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELPFUL / SUPPORTIVE</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>27 %</td>
<td>23 %</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERESTING</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>21 %</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>17 %</td>
<td>26 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENJOYABLE</td>
<td>17 %</td>
<td>19 %</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>12 %</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFFICULT</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>14 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGANIZED</td>
<td>11 %</td>
<td>11 %</td>
<td>11 %</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>9 %</td>
<td>12 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>